

Comments on “synchronous volvulus of the cecum and sigmoid colon: a rare cause of intestinal obstruction”

Vólvulo sincrónico de ciego y sigmoides: una causa rara de obstrucción intestinal

Sabri S. Atamanalp* and Rifat Peksoz

Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey

To the Editor,

We read with interest the paper titled “Synchronous volvulus of the cecum and sigmoid colon: a rare cause of intestinal obstruction” written by Garcia-Granados and Castaneda-Martinez¹. Sigmoid volvulus (SV) is a rare colonic obstruction form worldwide, while synchronous cecal and sigmoid volvulus (SCSV) is an extremely rare clinical entity with less than ten cases reported to date². We practice in Eastern Anatolia, in which SV is relatively common. Although we have some isolated cecal volvulus (CV) cases, we have no SCSV patient in our 1046-case SV series treated over 55.5-year period between June 1966 and January 2022, which is the largest single-center SV series over the world³. In the light of our comprehensive experience with SV, our comments relate to CV and SCSV.

First, although CV has a very low prevalence and accounts for only 1-1.5% of all causes of intestinal obstruction over the world⁴, as the authors declared, it represents 21% of colonic volvulus in Mexico¹. I wonder about the probable causes of this interesting distribution. In our theoretical opinion, the high incidence of a congenital anatomical prerequisite, mobile cecum, may be responsible for this relatively high incidence of CV in the authors’ practicing area. Similarly, although most likely due to the rarity of SCSV, the pathogenesis of this clinical entity is not clearly identified^{1,2,4}, the togetherness of mobile cecum and dolichosigmoid, the last which is the presence of an elongated and dilated sigmoid colon as a well-known

anatomical prerequisite for SV, may explain the probable pathogenesis of SCSV.

Second, as the authors declared, endoscopic decompression is the first-line treatment method in uncomplicated and non-gangrenous patients with SV¹, which was tried in 753 patients with 83.3% of success and 5.4% of the early recurrence rates, whereas late recurrence was present in 25.9% of our patients. Although most practitioners traditionally use a flatus tube to reduce the high recurrence rates in SV⁵, such as the authors’ practice, its recurrence-preventive role is controversial. In our experience, although flatus tubes may prevent the recurrence during their usage, they are used for only so long and are frequently removed or spontaneously discharged, which suppresses their recurrence-preventive roles.

Regarding the surgical technique, although stoma is a life-saving technique in high-risk and bed-bound patients in emergency surgery as was applied by the authors, sigmoid colectomy with primary anastomosis may be the optimal choice in some selected well-conditioned and non-elderly patients with or without bowel gangrene³. In our series, this procedure was applied in 170 patients with 12.4% of mortality and 33.5% of morbidity rates.

We congratulate the authors for their informative article and wait for their opinion on our comments.

Funding

The authors received no funding for this work.

*Correspondence:

Sabri S. Atamanalp
E-mail: ssa@atauni.edu.tr

Date of reception: 26-01-2022

Date of acceptance: 02-02-2022

DOI: 10.24875/CIRUE.M22000583

Cir Cir (Eng). 2022;90(6):844-845

Contents available at PubMed

www.cirugiaycirujanos.com

2444-0507/© 2022 Academia Mexicana de Cirugía. Published by Permanyer. This is an open access article under the terms of the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this study.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of patient data.

Right of privacy and informed consent. The authors obtained the written informed consent of all patients.

References

1. Garcia-Granados AR, Castaneda-Martinez LE. Synchronous volvulus of the cecum and sigmoid colon: a rare cause of intestinal obstruction. *Cir Cir.* 2021;89:4-8.
2. Muhammad B, Alice L, Rabiya A, Jason S. Rare synchronous caecal and sigmoid volvulus: management of two cases. *J Surg Case Rep.* 2021;1:rjaa556.
3. Atamanalp SS. Sigmoid volvulus: an update for Atamanalp classification. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2020;36:1137-9.
4. Dominguez-Garjito P, Guzman Y. Cecal volvulus secondary to iatrogenic postoperative intestinal obstruction. *Surgery.* 2021;170:e21-2.
5. Atamanalp SS. Endoscopic decompression of sigmoid volvulus: review of 748 patients. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech.* 2022;32:763-7.