

Carbapenems versus ciprofloxacin/metronidazole for decreasing complications and hospital stay following complicated acute appendicitis surgery: A prospective cohort in an Ecuadorian population

Carbapenémicos vs. ciprofloxacino/metronidazol en la disminución de las complicaciones y de la estancia hospitalaria tras cirugía por apendicitis aguda complicada: cohorte prospectiva en una población ecuatoriana

Orlando Bunces-Orellana¹, Eduardo Arevalo-Vida², Karla Bustos-Galarza³, Mario Ferrín-Viteri¹, Roberto Oleas⁴, Jorge Baquerizo-Burgos⁴, and Miguel Puga-Tejada^{4*}

¹Servicio de Cirugía General, Hospital General del Norte de Guayaquil Los Ceibos, Guayaquil, Ecuador; ²Servicio de Cirugía General, Hospital Teodoro Maldonado Carbo (HTMC), Guayaquil, Ecuador; ³Servicio de Terapia Intensiva, Hospital Luis Vernaza (HLV), Guayaquil, Ecuador; ⁴Instituto Ecuatoriano de Enfermedades Digestivas (IECED), Guayaquil, Ecuador

Abstract

Background: After appendectomy due to complicated acute appendicitis (CAA), there are some alternatives as antibiotic scheme: ciprofloxacin/metronidazole; as well as monoscheme based on carbapenems: ertapenem, meropenem, and imipenem.

Aim: This study aims to prove the efficacy of carbapenems versus ciprofloxacin/metronidazole in CAA post-operative management in terms of preventing complications during post-operative period and decreasing hospital stay. **Materials and methods:** This was an observational, analytical, longitudinal, and prospective cohort study (March 2014-November 2016). Patients were classified into two groups, according to the prescribed antibiotic scheme: some carbapenems or ciprofloxacin/metronidazole.

Results: Ninety-eight patients were enrolled. There was an requirement for antibiotic therapy rotation only in the ciprofloxacin/metronidazole (7/49, 14.3%; $p = 0.004$). Carbapenems reached a mean hospital stay of 4.45 days, in contrast with ciprofloxacin/metronidazole, 8.29 days ($p = 0.020$). In post-surgical follow-up, there were more cases of curative resolution in the group in the carbapenems group (35/49 vs. 15/49 $p < 0.001$) as well as a greater number of cases with some late infection in the ciprofloxacin/metronidazole group (1/49 vs. 10/49, $p = 0.010$). **Conclusion:** In the post-operative management of CAA, carbapenems represent an important indication to be considered as first-line antibiotic therapy.

Keywords: Appendicitis. Carbapenems. Ciprofloxacin. Metronidazole. Post-operative period.

Resumen

Antecedentes: Tras la cirugía por apendicitis aguda complicada existen varias alternativas de esquema antibiótico, entre las que destacan ciprofloxacino/metronidazol, o bien monoterapia con algún carbapenémico, como ertapenem, meropenem e imipenem. **Objetivo:** Determinar la eficacia de los carbapenémicos en comparación con ciprofloxacino/metronidazol en el

Correspondencia:

*Miguel Puga-Tejada

San José, 747

C.P. 1076, Buenos Aires, Argentina

E-mail: miguel.puga01@hotmail.com

Fecha de recepción: 04-05-2019

Fecha de aceptación: 19-08-2019

DOI: 10.24875/CIRUE.M20000196

Cir Cir (Eng). 2020;88(3):292-300

Contents available at PubMed

www.cirugiaycirujanos.com

2444-0507/© 2019 Academia Mexicana de Cirugía. Publicado por Permanyer. This is an open access article under the terms of the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

manejo posquirúrgico de la apendicitis aguda complicada, en términos de prevención de complicaciones durante el posoperatorio y de reducción de la estancia hospitalaria. **Método:** Estudio observacional, analítico, longitudinal, prospectivo y de cohorte, de marzo de 2014 a noviembre de 2016. Los pacientes fueron clasificados en dos grupos según el esquema antibiótico prescrito: un carbapenémico o ciprofloxacino/metronidazol. **Resultados:** Se seleccionaron 98 pacientes. Solo hubo necesidad de rotación de antibiótico en el grupo de ciprofloxacino/metronidazol (7 de 49, 14.3%; $p = 0.004$). En el grupo de carbapenémico, la media de estancia hospitalaria fue de 4.45 días, y en el grupo de ciprofloxacino/metronidazol fue de 8.29 días ($p = 0.020$). En el seguimiento posquirúrgico hubo más casos de resolución curativa en el grupo de carbapenémico (35 de 49 vs. 15 de 49; $p < 0.001$), así como un mayor número de casos con alguna infección tardía en el grupo de ciprofloxacino/metronidazol (1 de 49 vs. 10 de 49; $p < 0.001$). **Conclusión:** En el manejo posquirúrgico de la apendicitis aguda complicada, los carbapenémicos representan una importante indicación que debe considerarse como antibioticoterapia de primera línea.

Palabras clave: Apendicitis. Carbapenémicos. Ciprofloxacino. Metronidazol. Periodo posoperatorio.

Introduction

Acute appendicitis is one of the most common surgical pathologies, affecting 7-9% of the general population. This pathology does not have gender or age preference but is more frequent in the 2nd and 3rd decades of life. The therapeutic approach preferred is surgery, with a tendency for the laparoscopic approach¹. Complications are associated with the time since the start of symptoms up to surgical intervention. In the case of surgical site infections, these are classified according to their depth in superficial or organ space, latter with mayor complexity². Complicated acute appendicitis (CAA) is considered type III (gangrenous) and type IV (perforated). Pathology's mortality rate fluctuates between 1.5% and 10.8%³. There are different regimes indicated as post-operative antibiotic treatment, but ciprofloxacin/metronidazole is considered as the standard of care. However, carbapenems are also recommended as empiric therapy, due to its bactericidal action over different bacteria present in the intestinal flora^{4,5}.

The Hospital Teodoro Maldonado Carbo (HTMC) is the largest public hospital along with the Ecuadorian coast, serving an essential segment of the country's population. As a center of reference, more than 50% of acute appendicitis treated are complicated. In this hospital, we observed a favorable response to carbapenems (ertapenem, meropenem, or imipenem) in terms of early therapeutic action, less number of complications, and hospitalization. The study aims to prove the efficacy of carbapenems versus ciprofloxacin/metronidazole in CAA post-operative evolution, in post-operative complication prevention, and reduction of hospital stay. As a secondary objective, it was proposed to verify the efficacy between the different carbapenems (ertapenem, meropenem or imipenem) for the objective mentioned previously, through a subanalysis.

Materials and methods

Study design

This is an observational, analytic, longitudinal, and prospective cohort study. It was carried out in patients with surgical approach due to CAA in HTMC (Guayaquil, Ecuador) from March 2014 to November 2016. The present study was presented in accordance with the STROBE statement.

Population selection

The universe of the study included patients treated by the emergency department of the HTMC due to CAA during 2015. The inclusion criteria were patients ≥ 15 years that assisted at HTMC by their own means or referrals of primary or secondary health-care units, patients in whom clinical follow-up in the first 24 h after their arrival were possible, and patients that received any carbapenem (ertapenem, meropenem, or imipenem) or ciprofloxacin/metronidazole. For each patient that received ciprofloxacin/metronidazole, a patient that received carbapenem was included in the study. The exclusion criteria were patients that received any antibiotic treatment in the past 15 days, history of antibiotic allergies, creatinine clearance ≤ 40 ml/min, and history of hepatic insufficiency, neutropenia, gestating period, or lactation.

Follow-up and data collection

The following parameters evaluated antibiotic response: (a) clinical status (cured, stable, and worsened), presence of intra-abdominal or surgical site infection, and antibiotics' side effects; (b) antibiotic therapy rotation and white blood cell (WBC) count; and (c) hospital stay. The first parameter was documented




Figure 1. STROBE flowchart describing patients' selection.

in three different post-operative times, independently from hospital discharge: immediate (first 72 h after surgery), mediate (until 14 days after surgery), and late (15-30 days after surgery). A data collection form was designed to obtain data related to the study. Collected data were then tabulated in an electronic collection form.

Statistical analysis

We considered a type I error (alpha) of 5%, type II error (beta) of 20%, and therapeutic response between meropenem and clindamycin/tobramycin for the management of intra-abdominal infections of surgical resolutions described by Wilson (91% vs. 86%, $p > 0.05$)⁶. The

sample size was estimated with the non-inferiority and equivalence test⁷, with a statistical power of 80%. Quantitative variables were described by mean (standard deviation) or median (minimum-maximum range), taking on account statistical distribution (Kolmogórov-Smirnov or Shapiro-Wilk test). Qualitative variables were described by frequency (%). Quantitative variable contrast was done with the Student's t-test and Welch or Mann-Whitney U-test, and qualitative variable contrast was done through Pearson's Chi-squared test or Fischer's exact test. The association between antibiotic therapy and antibiotic rotation necessity was estimated through relative risk (RR). Difference between antibiotic length and hospital stay between the study groups was contrasted with Gray's test and described with a

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population

Baseline characteristics	Total (n = 98)	Carbapenems (n = 49)	Ciprofloxacin/metronidazole (n = 49)	p-value
Age (years), median (range)	33 (15-92)	33 (15-92)	33 (15-87)	0,577 ^a
Young adults (< 40)	63 (64,3)	33 (67,3)	30 (61,2)	
Adults (40-65)	23 (23,5)	12 (24,5)	11 (22,4)	
Elderly (≥ 65)	12 (12,2)	4 (8,2)	8 (16,3)	
Gender, n (%)				0,087 ^b
Feminine	33 (33,7)	21 (42,9)	12 (24,5)	
Masculine	65 (66,3)	28 (57,1)	37 (75,5)	
Clinical history, n (%)				
Diabetes mellitus	9/102 (9,2)	5/49 (10,2)	4/49 (8,2)	1,000 ^b
Hypertension	9/102 (9,2)	4/49 (8,2)	5/49 (10,2)	1,000 ^b
Others	9/102 (9,2)	5/49 (10,2)	4/49 (8,2)	1,000 ^b
Presenting symptoms, n (%)				0,355 ^b
Sepsis	12 (12,2)	4 (8,2)	8 (16,3)	
SIRS	86 (87,8)	45 (91,8)	41 (83,7)	
Type of appendicitis, n (%)				1,000 ^b
Gangrenous	22 (22,4)	11 (22,4)	11 (22,4)	
Perforated	76 (77,6)	38 (77,6)	38 (77,6)	
Intervention delay, n (%)				0,677 ^b
< 24 h	61 (62,2)	29 (59,2)	32 (65,3)	
≥ 24 h	37 (37,8)	20 (40,8)	17 (34,7)	
Creatinine clearance (ml/min), median (range)	74,1 (40,2-192,0)	75,9 (40,9-192,0)	72,4 (40,2-192,0)	0,316 ^a
≥ 90 ml/min	35 (35,7)	16 (32,7)	19 (38,8)	
60-89 ml/min	41 (41,8)	21 (42,9)	20 (40,8)	
40-60 ml/min	22 (22,4)	12 (24,5)	10 (20,4)	
Type of surgery, n (%)				0,239 ^b
Laparoscopic	16 (16,3)	10 (20,4)	6 (12,2)	
Laparotomic	29 (29,6)	11 (22,4)	18 (36,7)	
McBurney	53 (54,1)	28 (57,1)	25 (51,0)	
Surgical procedure time (hh:mm), median (range)	02:00 (01:00-03:20)	02:00 (01:00-03:20)	02:00 (01:00-03:00)	0,642 ^a

^aMann-Whitney U-test.^bPearson's Chi-squared test.

cumulative curve. A subanalysis between carbapenems was made. $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Data analysis was performed with R v3.6.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing; Vienna, Austria).

Ethical aspects

The presented study respected the stipulations of the Declaration of Helsinki (2008). Approval of the Medical Faculty Graduate School Institutional Review Board of Universidad Espíritu Santo (UEES) and Clinical Research and Teaching Department of HTMC was obtained. All patients included in the study signed informed consent.

Results

It was estimated that each group and subgroup should have 23 subjects approximately. A total of 102 subjects

were consecutively selected and followed during the study period: 53/102 (51.9%) received carbapenem (23/53 ertapenem, 26/53 meropenem, and 4/53 imipenem); meanwhile, 49/102 (48.1%) received ciprofloxacin/metronidazole. Thereafter, patients treated with imipenem were excluded from the study due to its low sample size compared with others carbapenems (Fig. 1).

The median age was 33 years, 33.7% of cases were feminine. Approximately 9% of the study population had a clinical history of diabetes mellitus and hypertension, among others. There were 12/98 (12.2%) cases with signs of sepsis and 76/98 (77.6%) cases which corresponded to acute perforated appendicitis. In 61/98 (62.2%) cases, the surgical procedure was performed within 24 h after the subjects' admission at HTMC. In 82/98 (83.7%) patients, a laparotomic approach was performed. Surgical duration's median was 2 h. Table 1 summarizes sociodemographic characteristics among antibiotic therapies.

Table 2. Therapeutic results according to the antibiotic scheme

Therapeutic results	Carbapenems (n = 53)	Ciprofloxacin/ metronidazole (n = 49)	p-value*	Ertapenem (n = 23)	Meropenem (n = 26)	p-value*
Immediate follow-up (first 72 h after surgery)						
Clinical status			0,264			0,397
Cured	2 (4,1)	0 (0,0)		1 (4,3)	1 (3,8)	
Stable	45 (91,8)	45 (91,8)		22 (95,7)	23 (88,5)	
Worsened	2 (4,1)	4 (8,2)		0	2 (7,7)	
Infection			0,014			0,398
Intra-abdominal	1 (2,0)	3 (6,1)		0	1 (3,8)	
Surgical site	1 (2,0)	9 (18,4)		0	1 (3,8)	
None	47 (95,9)	37 (75,5)		23 (100,0)	24 (92,3)	
Adverse effect			0,241			0,913
Headache	0	0		0	0	
Diarrhea	3 (6,1)	0		2 (8,7)	1 (3,8)	
None	46 (93,9)	49 (100,0)		21 (91,3)	25 (96,2)	
Mediate follow-up (until 14 days after surgery)						
Clinical status			< 0,001			0,561
Cured	35 (71,4)	15 (30,6)		16 (69,6)	19 (73,1)	
Stable	13 (26,5)	25 (51,0)		6 (26,1)	5 (19,2)	
Worsened	1 (2,0)	9 (18,4)		1 (4,3)	0	
Infection			< 0,001			0,558
Intra-abdominal	1 (2,0)	6 (12,2)		1 (4,3)	0	
Surgical site	2 (4,1)	21 (42,9)		1 (4,3)	1 (3,8)	
None	46 (93,9)	22 (44,9)		21 (91,3)	25 (96,2)	
Adverse effect			0,368			0,951
Headache	0	1 (2,0)		0	0	
Diarrhea	1 (2,0)	0		1 (4,3)	0	
None	48 (98,0)	48 (98,0)		22 (95,7)	26 (100,0)	
Late follow-up (15-30 days after surgery)						
Clinical status			< 0,001			0,397
Cured	45 (91,8)	32 (65,3)		22 (95,7)	23 (88,5)	
Stable	2 (4,1)	17 (34,7)		1 (4,3)	1 (3,8)	
Worsened	2 (4,1)	0		0	2 (7,7)	
Infection			0,010			0,951
Intra-abdominal	0	0		0	0	
Surgical site	1 (2,0)	10 (20,4)		1 (4,3)	0	
None	48 (98,0)	39 (79,6)		22 (95,7)	26 (100,0)	
Adverse effect			-			-
Headache	0	0		0	0	
Diarrhea	0	0		0	0	
None	49 (100,0)	49 (100,0)		23 (100,0)	26 (100,0)	

*Pearson's Chi-squared test.

Imipenem was excluded from carbapenems' subanalysis because there were fewer cases than estimated in the sample size calculation.

In contrast with ciprofloxacin/metronidazole, carbapenem cases were associated with a significant lower appearance of intra-abdominal or surgical site infection through immediate (2/49 vs. 12/49; $p = 0.014$), mediate (3/49 vs. 27/49; $p < 0.001$), or late (1/49 vs. 10/49; $p = 0.010$) follow-up. Furthermore, a significant higher frequency of cured cases managed with carbapenems was identified though mediate (35/49 vs. 15/49; $p < 0.001$) and late (45/49 vs. 32/49; $p < 0.001$)

follow-up. There was not any significant difference in clinical outcomes between carbapenems (ertapenem or meropenem) during the post-operative time (Table 2).

The antibiotic therapy rotation was needed in 7/98 (7.1%) cases, all associated with ciprofloxacin/metronidazole treatment (7/49, 14.3%; $p = 0.006$). Carbapenems permitted to reduce antibiotic rotation necessity (RR = 0.462; 95% confidence interval




Figure 2. Antibiotic length until rotation (days): ciprofloxacin/metronidazole versus carbapenems ($p = 0.012$).

0.369-0.576; $p = 0.012$). The mean antibiotic therapy length until rotation of subjects who received carbapenem was 3.44 days (range 1-14); meanwhile, in subjects with ciprofloxacin/metronidazole was 4.14 days (range 1-14) ($p = 0.012$) (Fig. 2). There was a statistically significant difference in the median WBC between those who used carbapenems versus ciprofloxacin/metronidazole by the 5th day of the antibiotic regime (10.000 vs. 14.000 WBC per high-power field, respectively; $p = 0.043$), but any other difference was seen in corresponding subanalysis between carbapenems.

Carbapenems reached a mean hospital stay of 4.45 days (range 2-15) in contrast with ciprofloxacin/metronidazole, 8.29 days (range 2-48) ($p = 0.020$) (Fig. 3). In carbapenems' subanalysis, the mean

antibiotic therapy length and hospital stay in subjects treated with ertapenem were 3.35 days (range 2-11 days) and 4.34 days (range 3-12); in the subjects with meropenem were 3.93 days (range 1-14 days) and 6.99 days (range 2-48). Nevertheless, the use of any of the carbapenems was not associated with a reduced antibiotic therapy length ($p = 0.150$) or hospital stay ($p = 0.109$). Table 3 summarizes the characteristics of patients who needed antibiotic rotation or with a hospital stay equal or longer than 14 days.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to compare carbapenems efficacy against to ciprofloxacin/metronidazole in




Figure 3. Hospital stay (days): ciprofloxacin/metronidazole versus carbapenems ($p = 0.020$).

the post-operative management of appendectomy due to CAA (types III-IV). The study shows that carbapenems, compared to ciprofloxacin/metronidazole, achieved a significant reduction in the time of resolution of the infectious disease and in hospitalization stay. It also manages to prevent mediate infections. Antibiotic rotation was necessary only in 7/49 cases from the ciprofloxacin/metronidazole cohort: 5/7 were > 40 years, 7/7 were male, and all of them presented perforated appendicitis resolved through laparotomic surgery (Table 2).

At the time, the use of carbapenems in intra-abdominal infections is cataloged as an alternative empiric treatment. In a recent clinical trial, the efficacy and safety of intravenous (IV) ertapenem, 1 and 1.5 g/day for

complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults versus IV ceftriaxone 2 g/day plus IV metronidazole 500 mg/8 h, were compared. After at least 3 days of therapy and satisfactory clinical response, patients could be switched to oral ciprofloxacin/metronidazole. Fifty-nine subjects were randomly assigned to ertapenem 1 g and 51 subjects to ertapenem 1.5 g; 55 subjects were randomly assigned to each compared group. In the healing test, 4-6 weeks after treatment, in the 1 g cohort, 26/31 (84%) of the patients treated with ertapenem and 35/41 (85%) with similar treatment had an evaluation, both clinical and microbiological favorable. Success rates in the 1.5 g cohort were 22/29 (83%) and 24/31 (77%) in the ertapenem and compared groups, respectively.

Table 3. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients who needed antibiotic rotation or with a hospital stay equal or longer than 14 days

Patient No.	Age/ Gender	Comorbidities	Type of appendicitis	Type of surgery	Antibiotic	Antibiotic length (days)	Antibiotic rotation	Hospital stay (days)	Hospital discharge condition	Cause of reintervention
18	48/M	-	Perforated	Laparotomic	Ciprofloxacin/ metronidazole	8	Yes	28	Alive	Peritoneal infection
25	72/M	-	Perforated	Laparotomic	Ciprofloxacin/ metronidazole	7	Yes	38	Alive	Loop of bowel
34	78/M	Diabetes/ hypertension	Perforated	Laparotomic	Ciprofloxacin/ metronidazole	14	Yes	48	Alive	Adherolysis
38	66/M	Hypertension	Perforated	Laparotomic	Meropenem	14	No	15	Alive	-
52	35/M	-	Perforated	Laparotomic	Ciprofloxacin/ metronidazole	8	Yes	14	Alive	-
68	53/M	Hypertension	Perforated	Laparotomic	Ciprofloxacin/ metronidazole	12	No	19	Alive	-
84	65/M	-	Perforated	Laparotomic	Ciprofloxacin/ metronidazole	5	Yes	29	Alive	Pyoperitoneum
100	36/M	-	Perforated	Laparotomic	Ciprofloxacin/ metronidazole	4	Yes	9	Alive	-
103	19/M	-	Perforated	Laparotomic	Ciprofloxacin/ metronidazole	3	Yes	38	Alive	Suture dehiscence

The adverse events related to the drug were similar in both groups. Ertapenem 1 or 1.5 g once a day followed by optional oral therapy seemed like combined therapy with ceftriaxone plus metronidazole with the same optional oral switch for complicated intra-abdominal infection treatment in adults. Although they were not compared directly at random, ertapenem 1 or 1.5 g efficacy and safety were comparable⁴. Ertapenem was well-tolerated and had a similar general safety than ceftriaxone plus metronidazole⁸. Another clinical trial evaluated the state of the art regarding the use of antibiotics in subjects with acute appendicitis undergoing appendectomy in a Chinese tertiary hospital. This study analyzed retrospectively 93 patients that underwent appendectomy and had registered the IV antibiotic used. Subanalysis was done for gangrenous appendicitis, and perforated appendicitis cataloged as advanced appendicitis. The appearance of advanced appendicitis, post-operative complications, and hospital stay were the three main endpoints for the analysis of the results. All subjects received antibiotics before and after the surgical approach. 45/93 (48.1%) subjects received fluoroquinolones, 41/93 (44.1%) received cephalosporins, and 7/93 (7.5%) patients received carbapenems. No statistical significance between antibiotic therapy selection and advanced appendicitis appearance was observed

($p = 0.333$). Univariate and multivariate analysis did not show a statistical difference between antibiotic therapy selection and post-operative complications ($p > 0.05$). Median hospital stay in subjects with fluoroquinolone was 2.6 days shorter than those who received cephalosporins ($p = 0.0085$). A lack of standardization of antibiotic therapy selection criteria in the hospital was concluded. In that study, fluoroquinolones could lead to a shorter hospital stay, but this result could be affected by the number of underlying diseases and the lower severity of the subjects⁹.

Wilson developed a multicenter, double-blind clinical trial, in which they compared meropenem safety against clindamycin and tobramycin, in 427 subjects from 13 hospitals in the United States, with some intra-abdominal infection. From this sample, 32% were subjects with complicated appendicitis. The difference in terms of efficacy and hospital stay was statistically significant, but not in the number of complications. It was concluded that meropenem, together with appropriate surgical intervention, constitutes the antibiotic of choice in terms of safety and therapeutic efficacy against secondary intra-abdominal infections⁶.

The present study has some strengths. It was carried out in a local reference institution; it had a prospective collection of data and design, had a statistically

representative sample size, and presented a similar number of cases among those in whom carbapenem versus ciprofloxacin/metronidazole was prescribed. A limitation that can be mentioned was that this work constitutes an observational study. In the absence of randomization, it was not possible to accurately identify many cases, in which the types of carbapenems would be indicated (in the case of imipenem). It did not include other empiric antibiotic therapies, which included aminoglycosides or cephalosporins nor did it have a sub-analysis of cost-effectiveness¹⁰.

Conclusion

Our observational data let us to conclude that in comparison with ciprofloxacin/metronidazole, carbapenems (ertapenem or meropenem) represent a valid first-line indication for the management of CAA in terms of early infection disease resolution and reduction in hospital stay, especially in patients with determined factors: > 40 years, perforated appendicitis or laparotomic surgery. In this study, no difference between therapeutic outcomes among carbapenems (ertapenem vs. meropenem) was shown. It is recommended to design randomized trials and cost-effectiveness studies which allow establishing the efficacy of carbapenems compared to traditional empiric therapy, mainly in terms of reduction of hospital stay¹⁰.

Acknowledgments

We thank authorities of the HTMC for allowing the development of the present research.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this study.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that no patient data appear in this article.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained the written informed consent of the patients or subjects mentioned in the article. The corresponding author is in possession of this document.

References

1. van Rossem CC, Schreinemacher MH, van Geloven AA, Bemelman WA. Antibiotic duration after laparoscopic appendectomy for acute complicated appendicitis. *JAMA Surg.* 2016;151:323. Available from: <http://www.archsurg.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jama-surg.2015.4236>. [Last accessed on 2017 Jan 30].
2. Montravers P, Blot S, Dimopoulos G, Eckmann C, Eggimann P, Guirao X, et al. Therapeutic management of peritonitis: a comprehensive guide for intensivists. *Intensive Care Med.* 2016;42:1234-47. Available from: <http://www.link.springer.com/10.1007/s00134-016-4307-6>. [Last accessed on 2017 Jan 30].
3. Fernández ZR. Complicaciones de la apendicectomía por apendicitis aguda. *Rev Cuba Cirugía.* 2010;49(2).
4. Chang DC, Wilson SE. Meta-analysis of the clinical outcome of carbapenem monotherapy in the adjunctive treatment of intra-abdominal infections. *Am J Surg.* 1997;174:284-90. Available from: <http://www.linkin-github.com/retrieve/pii/S0002961097001372>. [Last accessed on 2017 Jan 30].
5. Golan Y. Empiric therapy for hospital-acquired, Gram-negative complicated intra-abdominal infection and complicated urinary tract infections: a systematic literature review of current and emerging treatment options. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2015;15:313.
6. Wilson SE. Results of a randomized, multicenter trial of meropenem versus clindamycin/tobramycin for the treatment of intra-abdominal infections. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1997;24 Suppl 2:S197-206.
7. Chow S, Shao J, Wang H. *Sample Size Calculations in Clinical Research.* 2nd ed. New York, USA: Chapman and Hall/CRC Biostatistics Series; 2007. p. 89.
8. Yellin AE, Hassett JM, Fernandez A, Geib J, Adeyi B, Woods GL, et al. Ertapenem monotherapy versus combination therapy with ceftriaxone plus metronidazole for treatment of complicated intra-abdominal infections in adults. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2002;20:165-73. Available from: <http://www.linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0924857902001607> [Last accessed on 2017 Jan 4].
9. Beek MA, Jansen TS, Raats JW, Twiss EL, Gobardhan PD, van Rheede van der Kloot EJ, et al. The utility of peritoneal drains in patients with perforated appendicitis. *Springerplus.* 2015;4:371. Available from: <http://www.springerplus.com/content/4/1/371> [Last accessed on 2017 Jan 4].
10. Dalfino L, Bruno F, Colizza S, Concia E, Novelli A, Rebecchi F, et al. Cost of care and antibiotic prescribing attitudes for community-acquired complicated intra-abdominal infections in Italy: a retrospective study. *World J Emerg Surg.* 2014;9:39. Available from: <http://www.wjes.org/content/9/1/39>. [Last accessed on 2017 Jan 30].